| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| Office Action Summary | 09/516,482 | DESIMONE ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Melanie D. Bagwell | 1711 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply | ars on the cover sheet with the co | rrespondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a proper to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u> </u> | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi | s action is non-final. | ` |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the second secon | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-64 is/are pending in the application. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | vn from consideration. | • |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claims $\underline{1-64}$ are subject to restriction and/or e | lection requirement. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to | o by the Examiner. | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | _ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapp | proved. |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | caminer. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received in Application | on No |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the second | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome | | |
| <u>,</u> | p | |
| Addrack magnetics | | |
| Attachment(s) | 10) 🗀 المادة | or /DTO 442) Banar Na/a) |
| 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ | 19) Notice of Informal | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) |

Application/Control Number: 09/516,482

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1, 24, and 47 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the polymers listed for the first thermoplastic (claims 10-12, 35-37, and 56-58) and the polymers listed for the second thermoplastic (claims 10-12, 35-37, and 56-58). Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for each thermoplastic, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Robert Smith on 3/21/01 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 1711

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie D. Bagwell whose telephone number is (703)308-6539. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (703)308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9310 for regular communications and (703)872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)872-9309.

mdb March 26, 2001

> RACHEL GORR PRIMARY EXAMINER



Creation date: 12-05-2003

Indexing Officer: AGEBRU - ABEBA GEBRU

Team: OIPEBackFileIndexing

Dossier: 09516482

Legal Date: 04-27-2001

| No. | Doccode | Number of pages |
|-----|---------|-----------------|
| 1 | A | 1 |

Total number of pages: 1

Remarks:

Order of re-scan issued on